

Series of Comparable Dinuclear Group 4 Neo-pentoxide Precursors for Production of pH Dependent Group 4 Nanoceramic Morphologies

Timothy J. Boyle,* Leigh Anna M. Ottley, and Sarah M. Hoppe

Sandia National Laboratories, Advanced Materials Laboratory, 1001 University Boulevard, SE, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87106, United States

Charles F. Campana

5466 East Cheryl Parkway, Madison, Wisconsin 53711, United States

Received June 16, 2010

A series of similarly structured Group 4 alkoxides was used to explore the cation effect on the final ceramic nanomaterials generated under different pH solvothermal (SOLVO) conditions. The synthesis of $[\text{Ti}(\mu\text{-ONep})$ - $(ONep)_{3}]_2$ (1, ONep = OCH₂C(CH₃)₃) and $\{[H][(\mu\text{-}ONep)_{3}M_2(ONep)_{5}(OBu^t)]\}$ where M = Zr (2) and Hf (3, OBu^t = $OC(CH_3)_3$) were realized from the reaction of M($OBu^t)_4$ (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) and H-ONep. Crystallization of 1 from py led to the isolation of $[Ti(\mu\text{-}ONep)(ONep)_{3}]_2(\mu\text{-}py)$ (1a) whereas the dissolution of 2 or 3 in py yielded $\{(\mu_3\text{-}O)(\mu_3\text{-}O)$ OBu^t)[(μ -ONep)M(ONep)₂]₃} M = Zr (2a) and Hf (3a). The structurally similar congener set of 1–3 was used to investigate variations of their resultant nanomaterials under solvothermal conditions at high (10 M KOH), low (conc. (aq) HI), and neutral (H_2O) pH conditions. Reproducible nanodots, -squares, and -rods of varied aspect ratios were isolated based on cation and the reaction pH. The hydrolysis products were reasoned to be the "seed" nucleation sites in these processes, and studying the hydrolysis behavior of 1-3 led to the identification of $\text{Ti}_6(\mu_3\text{-O})_7(\mu\text{-O})(\mu\text{-}1)$ ONep)₂(ONep)₆]₂ (1b) for 1 but yielded 2a and 3a for 2 and 3, respectively. A correlation was found to exist between these products and the final nanomaterials formed for the acidic and neutral processes. The basic route appears to be further influenced by another property, possibly associated with the solubility of the final nanoceramic material.

Introduction

Nanomaterials of metal oxide ceramics are being investigated for a wide variety of applications because of the promise of new physical properties expected upon entering this size regime. Of these, group 4 nanoceramics have found widespread use in a diverse array of applications including (i) $TiO₂$ in photovoltaic and photocatalysis, (ii) $ZrO₂$ in solid oxide fuel cells and cosmetics, and (iii) $HfO₂$ in insulator, dielectric, and numerous other electroceramic uses. Reports for the production of $TiO₂$ nanomaterials (see ref 1 and references therein) are abundant, with fewer routes available for production of $ZrO₂$ (ref 2-5 are some examples), and even less for $HfO₂$ nanoparticles syntheses.⁶⁻⁸ The majority of these routes focus on the production of nanodots or wires. Recently we reported on the morphological variations noted for $TiO₂$ "nanoseeds" (i.e., preformed rutile nanoparticles used as nucleation growth sites) processed at high (10 M KOH) and low $[(aq)HX; X = Cl, Br, I] pH under solution$ precipitation (SPPT) and solvothermal (SOLVO) conditions.¹ High aspect ratio nanowires were isolated at high pH while novel nanosquares were observed at low pH.¹

Molecular precursors to ceramic nanomaterials are of interest since the decomposition of each molecule should be identical, which will lead to similar nucleation events, and thus produce uniform nanomaterials. Further, the thermal stability of metalorganic precursors would be substantially reduced in comparison to a nanoseed process. This could lead *To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: tjboyle@sandia.

gov. Phone: (505)272-7625. Fax: (505)272-7336.

⁽¹⁾ Boyle, T. J.; Lambert, T. N.; Pratt, H. D. I.; Lu, P.; Griego, J. J. M.; Bush, N.; Chavez, C. A.; Welk, M. *J. Mater. Sci.* **2010**, 45, 1744.
(2) Karthikeyan, J.; Berndt, C. C.; Tikkaenen, J.; Wang, J. Y.; King,

A. H.; Herman, H. Nanostruct. Mater. 1997, 8, 61.

⁽³⁾ Burakorn, T.; Panpranot, J.; Mekasuwandumrong, O. J. Mater. Process. Technol. 2008, 206, 352.

⁽⁴⁾ Battez, A. H.; Gonzalez, R.; Viesca, J. L. Wear 2008, 265, 422.

⁽⁵⁾ Chaubey, G. S.; Kim, J. Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2007, 28, 2279.

⁽⁶⁾ Maikap, S.; Das, A.; Want, T. Y.; Tien, T. C.; Chang, L. B. J. Electrochem. Soc. 2009, 156, K28.

⁽⁷⁾ Lin, Y. H.; Chien, C. H.; Lin, C. T.; Chang, C. Y.; Lei, T. F. IEEE Trans. Electron Devices 2006, 53, 782. (8) Das, A.; Maikap, S.; Li, W.-C.; Chang, L.-B.; Yang, J.-R. Jpn. J. Appl.

Phys. 2009, 48, 05DF02.

to lower processing temperatures impacting a number of advanced ceramic systems. Additionally, it is of interest to understand how the cations and their associated chemistry affect the final nanomaterial properties. To meaningfully accomplish this goal, it is critical to have similar shaped precursors for a group to minimize the variables in the reaction process. Unfortunately, this uniformity is not common for metal alkoxides $(M(OR)_x)^{9-11}$

One of the most useful alkoxide ligands that we have found¹²⁻³² for materials synthesis is the *neo*-pentoxide $(OCH₂C(CH₃)₃$ or ONep) moiety. The ONep ligand is attractive since it often yields compounds with reduced oligomerization and thus higher solubility and/or volatility, low decomposition temperatures with low C retention, minimal side reactions, and ease of crystallization, critical for identification of $M(OR)_x$. Over a decade ago, the synthesis of $[Ti(\mu\text{-}ONep)(\text{ONep})_3]_2$ (1) from the alcoholysis of $Ti(OPr^i)_4$ (where $OPr^1 = OCH(CH_3)_2$) with 4 equiv HONep in toluene $\frac{\text{eq}}{\text{eq}}$ 1) was reported.³³ Subsequently, extensive investigations of 1 for both chemical and materials production have been reported.¹²⁻³¹ Our previous attempts to extend this chemistry to Zr resulted only in partial substitution or oxo formation.31

(10) Allen, F. H.; Kennard, O.; Taylor, R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1983, 16, 146. (11) Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge

- CB2 1EZ, United Kingdom; www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk; searched using ConQuest v 5.31 (Nov 2009).
- (12) Boyle, T. J.; Alam, T. M.; Bunge, S. D.; Segall, J. M.; Avilucea, G. R.;
- Tissot, R. G.; Rodriguez, M. A. Organometallics 2005, 24, 731.

(13) Boyle, T. J.; Alam, T. M.; Dimos, D.; Moore, G. J.; Buchheit, C. D.; Al-Shareef, H. N.; Mechenbier, E. R.; Bear, B. R.; Ziller, J. W. Chem. Mater. 1997, 9, 3187.
- (14) Boyle, T. J.; Alam, T. M.; Peters, K. P.; Rodriguez, M. A. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 6281.
- (15) Boyle, T. J.; Alam, T. M.; Rodriguez, M. A.; Zechmann, C. A. Inorg. Chem. 2002, 41, 2574.
- (16) Boyle, T. J.; Alam, T. M.; Tafoya, C. J.; Mechenbier, E. R.; Ziller, J. W. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 38, 2422.
- (17) Boyle, T. J.; Alam, T. M.; Tafoya, C. J.; Scott, B. L. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 37, 5588.
- (18) Boyle, T. J.; AlShareef, H. N. J. Mater. Sci. 1997, 32, 2263.
- (19) Boyle, T. J.; Andrews, N. L.; Alam, T. M.; Rodriguez, M. A.; Santana, J. M.; Scott, B. L. *Polyhedron* **2002**, 21, 2333.
(20) Boyle, T. J.; Andrews, N. L.; Rodriguez, M. A.; Campana, C.; Yiu,
- T. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 5357.
- (21) Boyle, T. J.; Bunge, S. D.; Alam, T. M.; Holland, G. P.; Headley, T. J.; Avilucea, G. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 1309.
- (22) Boyle, T. J.; Bunge, S. D.; Andrews, N. L.; Matzen, L. E.; Sieg, K.; Rodriguez, M. A.; Headley, T. J. Chem. Mater. 2004, 16, 3279.
(23) Boyle, T. J.; Ottley, L. A. M.; Brewer, L. N.; Sigman, J.; Clem, P. G.;
- Richardson, J. J. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 3805.
- (24) Boyle, T. J.; Ottley, L. A. M.; Daniel-Taylor, S. D.; Tribby, L. J.;
- Bunge, S. D.; Costello, A. L.; Alam, T. M.; Gordon, J. C.; McCleskey, T. M. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 3705.
- (25) Boyle, T. J.; Ottley, L. A. M.; Rodriguez, M. A. Polyhedron 2005, 24, 1727.
- (26) Boyle, T. J.; Pedrotty, D. M.; Scott, B.; Ziller, J. W. Polyhedron 1998, 17, 1959.

(27) Boyle, T. J.; Rodriguez, M. A.; Alam, T. M. Dalton Trans. 2003,
- 4598.
- (28) Boyle, T. J.; Schwartz, R. W. Comments Inorg. Chem. 1994, 16, 243. (29) Boyle, T. J.; Tyner, R. P.; Alam, T. M.; Scott, B. L.; Ziller, J. W.;
- Potter, B. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 12104.
(30) Boyle, T. J.; Ward, T. L.; De'Angeli, S. M.; Xu, H. F.; Hammetter,
- W. F. Chem. Mater. 2003, 15, 765. (31) Boyle, T. J.; Gallegos, J. J.; Pedrotty, D. M.; Mechenbier, E. R.;
- Scott, B. L. J. Coord. Chem. 1999, 47, 155. (32) Boyle, T. J.; Tribby, L. J.; Bunge, S. D. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 4553.
- (33) Boyle, T. J.; Alam, T. M.; Mechenbier, E. R.; Scott, B. L.; Ziller, J. W. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 3293.

Since these initial reports, no additional homoleptic ONep Group 4 structures have been disseminated. $9-1$

$$
2Ti(OR)4 + 8HONep \rightarrow [Ti(\mu - ONep)(ONep)3]2 + 8HOR
$$
\n(1)

 $2M(OBu^t)₄ + 8HONep$

$$
\rightarrow \{[H][(\mu - ONep)_3M_2(ONep)_5(OBu^t)]\} + 7HOBu^t
$$

$$
M = Zr, Hf \tag{2}
$$

Recently, interest in generating the homoleptic ONep congeners led to the study of the *tert*-butoxide $(OC(CH_3)_3)$ or OBu^t) derivatives as shown in eq 2. The products were eventually characterized as $\{[H] [(\mu\text{-ONep})_3M_2(\text{ONep})_5-\}$ (OBu^t)] $\dot{M} = Zr(2)$ and Hf(3) with a proton disordered over the entire molecule. The surprisingly similar dinuclear structure types observed for these congeners $(1-3)$ allows for a comparative investigation of the influence of the metal cation on the final ceramic materials' properties under low, neutral, and high pH conditions following established solvothermal $(SOLVO)$ routes.³³ The characterization of the starting materials (1-3), the pyridine adducts $\{[Ti(\mu\text{-}ONep)(ONep)_3]_2\}$ -(μ -py) [(1a), 2a, and 3a], and hydrolysis products [Ti₆(μ ₃- $O_{7}(\mu\text{-}O)(\mu\text{-}ONep)_{2}(ONep)_{6}]_{2}$ [(1b), 2a, 3a], and the final nanomaterials generated by these unusual similarly shaped group 4 precursors are reported.

Experimental Section

All compounds described belowwere handled under an argon atmosphere with rigorous exclusion of air and water using standard Schlenk line and glovebox techniques. All solvents were stored under argon and used as received (Aldrich) in Sure/ Seal bottles, including toluene (tol) and pyridine (py). The following chemicals were used as received (Aldrich and Alfa Aesar): \dot{M} (OBu^t)₄ (M = Ti, Zr, Hf) and H-ONep. Ti(OPrⁱ)₄ was freshly distilled prior to use. Compound 1 was prepared according to literature procedures 33 and from the reaction of $Ti(OBu^t)₄$ with 4.5 HONep in toluene.

FT-IR data were obtained on a Bruker Vector 22 Instrument using KBr pellets under an atmosphere of flowing nitrogen. Elemental analysis was performed on a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN-S/O Elemental Analyzer. All NMR samples were prepared from dried crystalline materials that were handled and stored under an argon atmosphere and redissolved in deuterated chloroform (CDCl₃). Spectra were collected on a Bruker DRX 400 MHz NMR spectrometer under standard experimental conditions $(^1H$ spectra 4-s recycle delay at 16 scans; 13 C Spectra were obtained with a 10 s delay and 4k scans).

 $\{ [H] [(\mu\text{-}ONep)_3Zr_2(ONep)_5(OBu^t)] \}$ (2). To a stirring solution of $Zr(OBu^t)_4$ (1.00 g, 2.61 mmol), in ~10 mL of toluene, H-ONep (1.03 g, 11.7 mmol), was added. After 12 h, a precipitate was present, the reaction was heated until clear and set aside until crystals formed. Yield 92.7% (1.15 g). FTIR (KBr Pellet, cm⁻¹) 3440(br, m), 2954(s), 2908(s), 2867(w), 1651(w), 1478(m), 1395(m), 1363(m), 1261(w), 1194(s), 1135(s), 1111(s), 1017(s), 1017(w), 904(w), 800(w), 751(w), 630(m), 580(w), 533(w), 457(m). ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.98 (14.0H, s, OCH₂C(CH₃)₃), 1.32 (5.8H, s, OC(CH₃)₃), 1.07 (62.6H, s, OCH₂C(CH₃)₃), ¹³C (100.1 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 80.1 (OCH₂C(CH₃)₃), 33.6 (OC(CH₃)₃), 32.8 (OCH₂C(CH₃)₃), 27.0 (OCH₂C(CH₃)₃). Elemental Analysis C₄₄H₉₈O₉Z_{r₂ (MW = 953.69): calc⁷d 55.41, %} C; 10.36, %H. Found 55.58, %C; 10.64, %H.

⁽⁹⁾ Allen, F. H.; Bellard, S.; Brice, M. D.; Cartwright, B. A.; Doubleday, A.; Higgs, H.; Hummelink, T.; Hummelink-Peters, B. G.; Kennard, O.; Motherwell, W. D. D.; Rodgers, J. R.; Watson, D. G. Acta Crystallogr. 1979, B35, 146.

 a R1 = $\sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}||/\sum |F_{o}| \times 100$. b wR2 = $[\sum w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}]/\sum w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}]^{1/2} \times 100$.

 $\{[H] [(\mu\text{-}ONep)_3 Hf_2(ONep)_5(OBu^t)]\}$ (3). To a stirring solution of Hf(OBu^t)₄ (1.00 g, 2.12 mmol) in ∼10 mL of toluene, H-ONep (0.841 g, 9.56 mmol) was added. After 12 h, a precipitate was present, the reaction was heated until clear and set aside until crystals formed. Yield 73.3% (0.88 g). FTIR (KBr Pellet, cm⁻¹) 3577(br,m), 2953(s), 2866(s), 2708(m), 1479(m), 1396(m), 1363(m), 1261(w), 1149(s), 1123(s), 1041(sh), 1016- (sm), 937(w), 902(w), 752(w), 626(m), 583(w), 536(w), 450(m). ¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.06 (14.0H, s, OCH₂C- $(CH₃)₃$), 1.32 (8.4H, s, OC(CH₃)₃), 1.07 (67.5H, s, OCH₂C- (CH_3) ₃). ¹³C (100.1 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 79.9 (OCH₂C(CH₃)₃), 33.7 $(OC(CH₃)₃), 32.9 (OCH₂C(CH₃)₃), 27.1 (OCH₂C(CH₃)₃). Ele$ mental Analysis for $C_{44}H_{98}Hf_2O_9$ (MW = 1128.23): calc'd 46.84, %C; 8.75, %H. Found 46.49, %C; 8.96, %H.

Pyridine Adducts. Under an atmosphere of argon, the above crystalline material $(1-3)$ was dissolved in a minimum amount of pyridine with heating if necessary. The resulting crystals isolated in near quantitative yields were characterized by single crystal diffraction as $\text{Ti}(\mu\text{-ONep})(\text{ONep})_3\text{I}_2(\mu\text{-py})$ (1a) and $\{\mu_3$ -O)(μ_3 -OBu^t)[(μ -ONep)M(ONep)₂]₃} where M = Zr (2a) and Hf (3a).

Hydrolysis Products. To a solution of the crystalline material of 1-3 independently dissolved in a minimum amount of toluene, 1 equiv of water in toluene was cannula transferred from one Schlenk flask to another. The final reaction mixture was stirred for 5 min and then all the volatile materials removed in vacuo to yield white powders. The products were transferred to a glovebox and crystallized from toluene. The products were identified as $[Ti_6(\mu_3{\text{-}}O)/(\mu{\text{-}}O)(\mu{\text{-}}ONep)_2(ONep)_6]_2$ (1b) whereas 2 and 3 yielded 2a and 3a, respectively.

Beryllium X-ray Powder Patterns (BeD-XRD). Information pertaining to the details of the beryllium dome XRD (BeD- XRD) analyses has been previously disseminated;³⁴⁻³⁶ hence, only a short description is presented here. All sample preparation was performed in an argon filled glovebox using a 1 cm quartz disk (zero-background plate), where the sample was pressed into the specimen cavity, leveled to the holder base using a glass slide, and the BeD cover sealed. The BeD holder was carefully loaded into the Siemens D500 diffractometer. For all scans the instrument settings were 40 kV and 30 mA with a 0.04° step-size, 1 s count-time, scan range of $5-30^{\circ}$ 2 θ , 1° divergence and receiving slits; the goniometer radius was 250 mm. Caution! Because of the presence of potentially toxic Be o , it is

important that only trained personnel, wearing the appropriate personal protective equipment (i.e., rubber gloves) handle the BeD. If poor handling techniques or any other means shatter the BeD, proper safety cleanup and disposal protocols **must** be followed.

General X-ray Crystal Structure Information.³⁷. Crystals were mounted onto a glass fiber from a pool of Fluorolube and immediately placed in a cold N_2 vapor stream, on a Bruker AXS diffractometer equipped with a SMART 1000 CCD detector using graphite monochromatized MoK α radiation ($\lambda = 0.7107$ A). Lattice determination and data collection were carried out using SMART Version 5.054 software. Data reduction was performed using SAINTPLUS Version 6.01 software and corrected for absorption using the SADABS program within the SAINT software package.

Structures were solved by direct methods that yielded the heavy atoms, along with a number of the lighter atoms or by using the PATTERSON method, which yielded the heavy atoms. Subsequent Fourier refinement yielded the remaining light-atom positions. The hydrogen atoms were fixed in positions of ideal geometry and refined using SHELXH software. The final refinement of each compound included anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms. It is of note that crystal structures of $M(OR)_x$ often contain disorder within the atoms of the ligand chain causing higher than normal final correlations.38-⁴³ All final CIF files were checked at http:// www.iucr.org/. Additional information concerning the data collection and final structural solutions can be found in the Supporting Information or by accessing CIF files through the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Base. Data collection parameters for 1-3a are given in Table 1. Specific issues associated with individual structures are discussed below.

Compounds 2 and 3 have isomorphous structures and both were solved and refined in the monoclinic space group P_1 with $Z = 2$. Nearly perfect inversion twinning was observed for both compounds with refined twin ratios of 0.486 and 0.507 for 2 and 3, respectively. The atoms of the core M_2O_9 units were refined anisotropically, while the carbon atoms of the nine neopentoxide ligands were refined isotropically. All methylene and

(43) Hubert-Pfalzgraf, L. G. New. J. Chem. 1987, 11, 663.

⁽³⁴⁾ Boyle, T. J.; Ottley, L. A. M.; Alam, T. M.; Rodriguez, M. A.; Yang, P.; McIntyre, S. K. Polyhedron 2010, 29, 1784.

⁽³⁵⁾ Rodriguez, M. A.; Boyle, T. J.; Yang, P.; Harris, D. L. Powder Diffr. 2008, 23, 121.

⁽³⁶⁾ Boyle, T. J.; Ottley, L. A. M.; Rodriguez, M. A.; Sewell, R. M.; Alam, T. M.; McIntyre, S. K. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 47, 10708.

⁽³⁷⁾ Turova, N. Y.; Turevskaya, e. P.; Kessler, V. G.; Yanovskay, M. L. The Chemistry of Metal Alkoxides; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Boston, 2002.

⁽³⁸⁾ Bradley, D. C.; Mehrotra, R. C.; Gaur, D. P. Metal Alkoxides; Academic Press: New York, 1978.

⁽³⁹⁾ Bradley, D. C.; Mehrotra, R. C.; Rothwell, I. P.; Singh, A. Alkoxo and Aryloxo Derivatives of Metals; Academic Press: New York, 2001.

⁽⁴⁰⁾ Turova, N. Y.; Turevskaya, E. P.; Kessler, V. G.; Yanovskaya, M. I. The Chemistry of Metal Alkoxide; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Boston, 2002.

⁽⁴¹⁾ Caulton, K. G.; Hubert-Pfalzgraf, L. G. Chem. Rev. 1990, 90, 969.
(42) Chandler, C. D.; Roger, C.; Hampden-Smith, M. J. Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 1205.

methyl hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined as using a riding model. Careful examination of the raw image data from the CCD detector revealed that both structures are commensurate modulated structures with q-vector of 0, 0, 1/3, with the intensities of the satellite peaks in compound 2 being much larger than those in compound 3. The structures reported in this work are based upon the small subcell and do not include the contributions due to the satellite reflection. These structures are therefore average structures, which have larger than expected isotropic displacement parameters for the carbon atoms, and unusually high R-values of $R1 = 10.97\%$ for 2 and 4.29% for 3. The experimental data were not of sufficiently good quality to attempt a complete modulated structure analysis.

Compounds 2a and 3a have isomorphous structures, and both were solved and refined in the trigonal space group $P3c1$ with $Z = 2$. Each of molecules lies on a crystallographic 3-fold axis. One of the neo-pentoxide ligands is disordered and was successfully refined using standard crystallographic restraints. The disorder ratios refined to 0.423 and 0.350 for 2a and 3a, respectively. The carbon atoms of the disordered ligands were refined isotropically, and all other non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All methylene and methyl hydrogen atoms were placed in idealized positions and refined as using a riding model.

Nanoparticle Synthesis. Compounds $1-3$ were used in three solutions under SOLVO conditions (in a 40 mL Parr Digestion bomb, 1.2 mmol of precursor, 20 mL total, heated to 180 °C for 24 h) that involves the addition of the desired precursor to a solution at a variety of pH levels: (a) low [*conc*. $HI/H₂O (1:1)$], (b) neutral (H_2O) , and (c) high (10 M (aq) KOH). After the appropriate time, the precipitate was isolated by centrifugation and washed with water three times.

For transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analyses, an aliquot of the desired powder that was slurried in toluene was placed directly onto a holey-carbon copper coated TEM grid (300 mesh) purchased from Electron Microscopy Sciences and allowed to dry overnight. The resultant particles were studied using a Philips CM 30 TEM operating at 300 kV accelerating voltage. In addition, the powder was characterized using a PANalytical X'Pert Pro using Cu $K\alpha$ radiation with step size 0.0167 degree, with 0.152 deg/sonds dwelling time. The nanoceramic sample was placed on a zero background XRD holder, which minimized the background noise on XRD signal.

Results and Discussion

Since the reports on the synthesis of 1 (shown in Figure 1), only a handful of other Group 4 ONep derivatives have been crystallographically characterized,⁹⁻¹¹ including $[Zr(\mu OPr^i$)($ONep$)₃($HONep$)]₂,³¹ [Zr₃(μ_3 -O)(μ_3 -ONep)(μ -ONep)₃- $(ONep)_6$],³¹ and $Zr(O)(Cl)(\mu$ -ONep)₃(ONep)₆.⁴⁴ The Hf(OR)₄

Figure 1. Ball and stick structure plot of $1.^{22}$.

family has only been cursorily investigated $45-53$ and no Hf-ONep derivatives have been previously reported. While these compounds have interesting and useful properties, they did not allow for a systematic investigation of the cation effect on the subsequent materials produced. For instance, zirconium based alkoxides are classically thought to be very stable dinuclear species with the central Zr -OP r^i core known to be chemical resistant, with a number of researchers actually using $Zr(\mu OPr^i(OPr^i)(HOPr^i)]_2$ as a ligand.^{37–39,42,43,54–60} Thus, the heteroleptic $[Zr(\mu\text{-}OPT^1)(\text{ONep})_3(\text{HONep})]_2$ with a " $Zr_2(\mu\text{-}OPT^1)$ OPr^{i} ₂" core³¹ would not be of use in comparison to 1 with a " $M_2(\mu$ -ONep)₂". Therefore, it was of interest to generate a series of similar ligated, dinuclear Group 4 congener species and investigate the effect the cation had on the final nanomaterials generated.

Synthesis. The reaction of the monomeric $Zr(OBu^t)_4$ with stoichiometric amounts of HONep produced no color change nor any precipitate upon mixing. After stirring for 12 h, crystals were easily isolated by drastically reducing the volume of the reaction mixture and allowing the solution to set for several hours under an inert atmosphere. Because of the success with the $Zr(OBu^t)_4$, Hf- $(OBu^t)₄$ was reacted in a similar process as noted above. The crystals isolated from a variety of solvents: hexanes, toluene, and CHCl₃.

Single Crystal X-ray. To assist in identification of the products, single crystal X-ray diffraction experiments were undertaken. While the crystals isolated from toluene or hexanes yielded a structural solution, their final models suffered from significant disorder in ligands' pendant hydrocarbon chains, yielding high R-values, not uncommon for $M(OR)_x$ structure solutions.³⁸⁻⁴³ The best-fit structure solutions of 2 and 3 were ultimately obtained from crystals grown from $CHCl₃$ and are shown in Figures 2 and 3, respectively. Irrespective of the extensive modeling efforts undertaken, disorder in the ONep ligands was observed. However, the central core of 2 and 3 was unequivocally determined to be dinuclear with 9 ONep

⁽⁴⁴⁾ Evans, W. J.; Ansari, M. A.; Ziller, J. W. Polyhedron 1998, 17, 869. (45) Sarikove, Z. A.; Turevskaya, E. P.; Kozlova, N. I.; Turova, N. Y.; Berdyev, D. V.; Anovsky, A. I. Polyhedron 1999, 18, 941.

⁽⁴⁶⁾ Chmura, A. H.; Davidson, M. G.; Jones, M. D.; Lunn, M. D.; Mahon, M. F.; Johnson, A. F.; Khunkamchoo, P.; Roberts, S. L.; Wong, S. S. F. Macromolecules 2006, 39, 7250.

⁽⁴⁷⁾ Williams, P. A.; Roberts, J. L.; Jones, A. C.; Chalker, P. R.; Tobin, N. L.; Bickley, J. F.; Davies, H. O.; Smith, L. N.; Leedham, T. J. Chem. Vap. Deposition 2002, 8, 163.

⁽⁴⁸⁾ Boyle, T. J.; Tribby, L. J.; Alam, T. M.; Bunge, S. D.; Holland, G. P.

Polyhedron 2005, 24, 1143.

(49) Veith, M.; Mathur, S.; Mathur, C.; Huch, V. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1997, 12, 2101.

⁽⁵⁰⁾ Loo, Y. F.; O'kane, R.; Jones, A. C.; Aspinall, H. C.; Potter, R. J.; Chalker, P. R.; Bickley, J. F.; Taylor, S.; Smith, L. M. J. Mater. Chem. 2005, 15, 1896.

⁽⁵¹⁾ Chmura, A. H.; Davidson, M. G.; Jones, M. D.; Lunn, M. D.; Mahon, M. F. Dalton Trans. 2006, 7, 887.

⁽⁵²⁾ Williams, P. A.; Roberts, J. L.; Jones, A. C.; Chalker, P. R.; Bickley, J. F.; Steiner, A.; Davies, H. O.; Leedham, T. J. J. Mater. Chem. 2002 12, 165.

⁽⁵³⁾ Imam, S. A.; Rao, B. R. Naturwissenschaften 1963, 50, 517.
(54) Bradley, D. C. Chem. Rev. 1989, 89, 1317.

⁽⁵⁵⁾ Cotton, F. A.; Wilkinson, G. Advanced Inorganic Chemistry, 5th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1988.

⁽⁵⁶⁾ Veith, M.; Mathur, S.; Huch, V.; Decker, T. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 9, 1327.

⁽⁵⁷⁾ Veith, M.; Mathur, C.; Mathur, S.; Huch, V. Organometallics 1997, 16, 1292.

⁽⁵⁸⁾ Evans, W. J.; Greci, M. A.; Ansari, M. A.; Ziller, J. W. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1997, 23, 4503.

⁽⁵⁹⁾ Evans, W. J.; Johnston, M. A.; Ansari, M. A.; Brady, J. C.; Ziller, J. W. Inorg. Chem. 2000, 39, 2125.

⁽⁶⁰⁾ Evans, W. J.; Greci, M. A.; Johnston, M. A.; Ziller, J. W. Chem. Eur. J. 1999, 5, 3482.

Figure 2. Structure plot of 2. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% level of heavy atoms.

Figure 3. Structure plot of 3. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% level of heavy atoms.

ligands: 3 bridging and 6 terminal yielding octahedral geometries for each metal center. To maintain charge balance, one of the 9 ONep ligands must be protonated; however, the location of the H could not be discerned from an analysis of the metrical data nor the electron density map. Therefore, it was assumed that the proton was disordered over the entire molecule and represented by a [H] in the formula. The metrical data are shown in Table 2 and were found to be consistent with each other when the cation size variation is taken into account.

Bulk Powder Characterization. The bulk crystalline powder was further characterized using a variety of analytical methods. The FTIR spectrum of the crystals of 2 or 3 contained standard ONep stretches and bends; however, even when carefully dried and handled, a substantial OH peak was observed around 3400 cm^{-1} for each sample. This is consistent with the disordered H assumed in the crystal structure (vide infra). The sharp stretches noted at 630 and 450 cm^{-1} for 2 and at 626 and 457 cm^{-1} for 3 correspond to the various M-O bonds. The remaining peaks in these two spectra are nearly identical, suggesting that the two species possess similar constructs, as noted in their single crystal structures.

Elemental analysis of 1 has always proved problematic because of the volatility of the precursor, which melts at 59 °C. For 2 and 3, the samples were found to melt at 210 and 181 \degree C, respectively which indicated that useful analyses might be obtained. Elemental analyses of 2 and 3 proved to be consistent with the above single crystal structure solutions.

Alternative methods were also undertaken to confirm that the bulk powders were consistent with the single crystal structure. The use of a beryllium dome XRD (BeD-XRD) for analysis of air-sensitive materials has been shown to be an important method to identify highly reactive powders.35,36 The resultant BeD-XRD spectra of 1-3 were in agreement with the calculated patterns of 1-3 that were derived from the single crystal structure, and these data can be found in the Supporting Information. Combined, the above data suggested that the full structure was the homoleptic ONep dinuclear complex.

Pyridine Derivatives. One final attempt to generate higher quality crystals led to isolating crystals from pyridine. For 1, the sample rapidly dissolved in a minimum amount of pyridine whereas reaction mixtures of both 2 and 3 had to be heated to obtain clear solutions. The crystals isolated upon cooling of the reaction mixture of 1, 2, and 3 proved to be $\left[\text{Ti}(\mu\text{-ONep})(\text{ONep})_3\right]_2(\mu\text{-py})$ (1a, Figure 4) and a surprising $\{\mu_3$ -O) $(\mu_3$ -OBu^t)[(μ_3) -OBut] ONep) $M(ONep)_{2}]_3$ M = Zr (2a, Figure 5) and Hf (3a, Figure 6).

For 1a, the pyridine molecule was located in a bridging position between the two metal centers; however, the Ti $-N$ distance is too elongated [2.532(8) A] to be considered a full bond (literature Ti-N(py) distances range from 2.141–2.455 Å)^{9–11} and more likely represents a weak interaction. This so-called "crevice" binding mode has only been noted for three other compounds $\{[(C_6 H_{11}$)₃P] A g(μ -I)}₂(μ -py),⁶¹ {[CsP(H)(C₆H₂Bu^t₃)-2,4,6]₂- $(\mu$ -py),⁶² and $\{(\text{L})_2\text{Mo}(\text{O})\}_2(\mu$ -O) $(\mu$ -S) $(\mu$ -py) $\}$ ^{63,64} where $L = OO'$ -di-*iso*-propyl phosphorodithioate). Possibly, in solution the large cone angle swept out by the ONep ligand prevents coordination of pyridine to the monomeric species but upon crystallization to the dimer, the ONep ligands become "locked-in" with only enough room to allow the "crevice" pyridine to bind.

For 2a and 3a a trinuclear species was solved with a bridging μ_3 -O and surprising μ_3 -OBu^t ligand. The oxo is most likely due to adventitious water in the "dry" pyridine or from the reduction of the ONep upon heating. However, attempts to rationalize the formation of an OBu^t ligand from the decomposition of the ONep ligands could not be formulated. This led us to believe that the homoleptic structure was *not* correct, and one OBu^t is present along with eight ONep ligands. Analyses of the metrical data for 2a or 3a are consistent with each other (Table 2) and do not reveal the OBu^t. The FTIR, elemental analyses, and BeD-XRD data of 2 and 3, do not reveal its presence either, since the OBu^t ligand has an identical construct present in the ONep and is present in

⁽⁶¹⁾ Bowmaker, G. A.; Effendy; Harvey, P. J.; Healy, P. C.; Skelton,

B. W.; White, A. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 2459.
(62) Rabe, G.; Heise, H.; Yap, G. P. A.; Liable-Sands, L. M.; Guzei, I. A.; Rheingold, A. L. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 4235.
(63) Drew, M. G. B.; Mitchell, P. C. H.; Read, A. R. Chem. Commun.

¹⁹⁸², 238.

⁽⁶⁴⁾ Drew, M. G. B.; Mitchell, P. C. H.; Read, A. R. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1983, 649.

Figure 4. Structure plot of 1a. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% level.

Figure 5. Structure plot of 2a. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% level.

a 1:9 ratio. Therefore, crystals of 2 or 3 from CHCl₃ were redissolved in tol- d_8 and the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra collected. From the crystal structure, three types of ONep ligands would be expected (bridging, terminal and HONep).

Figure 6. Structure plot of 3a. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% level.

Table 3. Properties of Nanomaterials Produced under Different pH Conditions Using 1-3 as Precursors

precursor		low(HI)	neutral $(H2O)$	high (KOH)
1(Ti)	label	1 nA	1 nN	1nB
	phase	rutile syn/ brookite	anatase	anatase
	shape	rod	square - rod	rod
	AR^a	$4 - 5$		$6 - 8$
2(Zr)	label	2 nA	2 nN	2nB
	phase	baddeleyte	ZrO ₂ /baddelevite	baddelevite
		syn		
	shape	rods	square - dot	rods - square
	AR	$7 - 8$		$1 - 3$
3(Hf)	label	3 nA	3 nN	3nB
	phase	hafnium	hafnium	hafnium
		oxide	oxide	oxide
	shape	rod	square	square
	AR	$7 - 8$		

 A^a AR = Aspect ratio estimated from TEM images.

The spectra of 2 and 3 appear to be very similar with three sharp singlets noted in an ratios of 14.0/5.8/62.6 (2) and $14.0/8.4/67.5$ (3). If there is one OBu^t per dinuclear species as observed for 2a and 3a, then the expected ratio would be 14.0:9.0:63.0, which is consistent with the observed spectral integrations noted above. Therefore, these resonances

Figure 7. column (i) TEM and (ii) PXRD of nanomaterials generated from (10 M) KOH and row (a) 1, (b) 2, and (c) 3.

correspond to the ONep methylene, OBu^t methyl, and the ONep methyl proton resonances. The ^{13}C of both also confirm the presence of the OBu^t.

From these data, the real structures of 2 and 3 must be a dinuclear species with a OBu^t and $H⁺$ disordered over the 9 ONep sites. The same general structure arrangement of the carbons and the division of the OBu^t by 9, makes the metrical data from the single crystal structure solution for the protons of the ONep and carbons of the OBu^t ligands look strikingly similar. Therefore, we have formulated the general formula of these compounds as $\{[H] \mid (\mu - \mathcal{L}) \leq \mathcal{L}\}$ ONep)₃M₂(ONep)₅(OBu^t)]} M = Zr (2) and Hf (3).

Nanoparticles. The reproducible production of controlled ceramic nanomaterials from "seeded" growth methods can be problematic. This is due to a number of issues including variations in the "nanoseed" materials (i.e., size or shape) that lead to differences in the growth nuclei and ultimately polydispersed nanoparticles. In contrast, using well-defined precursors that are similar in structure (dinuclear with bridging ONep ligands), and construction (i.e., $1-3$), we reasoned that more uniform

growth nuclei would be generated leading to a better understanding of the cation influences on the final nanomaterials produced. Recently, we investigated the morphological changes wrought from the solvothermal (SOLVO) growth of $TiO₂$ nanoseeds under basic (10 M) KOH) and acidic (halide acid: conc. HX (pK_a : HCl = -7 \langle HBr = -9 \langle HI = -11) conditions.¹ Ultimately from a scoping study, the hydroiodic acid [(aq) HI] solvent systems at low precursor $(1-3)$ concentrations, yielded the most consistent nanomaterials and was therefore employed in this study (see Supporting Information).

Therefore, this route was used to produce nanomaterials using the structurally similar congeners $1-3$ in the same basic and acidic systems with an additional neutral SOLVO preparative route, which was not previously useful for the "nanoseed" methodology. The nanoparticles will be referred to according to their precursor number followed by a "n" for nanoparticle and either an "A" for acidic, "N" for neutral, or "B" for basic (i.e., nanoparticles generated from 1 in HI would be referred to as "1nA"). Data concerning the nanomaterials

Figure 8. *column* (i) TEM and (ii) PXRD of nanomaterials generated from (aq)HI and row (a) 1, (b) 2, and (c) 3.

generated from $1-3$ are tabulated in Table 3. TEM images as well as the PXRD patterns can be found in Figures 7, 8, and 9 (i and ii) for basic, acid, and neutral conditions, respectively for $1-3$.

Basic Conditions. Previously, all processing (SOLVO, SPPT, or hybrid) conditions we investigated that employed $TiO₂$ "nanoseeds" under basic conditions (10 M KOH) yielded β -phase or TiO₂-B (JCPDS card 00-046-1238) nanowires of different thicknesses.¹ In contrast, the products isolated from 1 (1nB) formed short rods of TiO₂-B around 50 nm in length with an ∼15-20 nm width [Figure 7a (i and ii)]. In some instances longer rods were noted but typically these were shorter than 150 nm. These short thin rods may have formed because of the instantaneous decomposition of the molecular precursor which yields a nucleation shower of growth nuclei. This leads to numerous growth sites that rapidly consume the precursor feedstock yielding many nanowires that are smaller and thinner than seeded growth systems. This is because for the "nanoseeds" $TiO₂$ system, the particles are slowly dissolved in the 10 M KOH, leading to slow

elongated growth processes (the original preparation took $3-7$ days to finalize wire growth).¹ Again, the starting nuclei of 1b in comparison to the "nanoseeds" of rutile $TiO₂$, show the variations that the starting precursor approach can impart.

The initial image of the 2nB materials generated using 2 under basic conditions, appear as short rods of baddeleyite [Figure 7b(i and ii)]; however, upon closer inspection, the rods are aligned squares and rods that vary in size but are substantially larger than the 1nB materials. Similar nanomaterials were expected for the 3nB because of the iso-structral nature of the starting precursors. Nanosquares of $HfO₂$ were observed as seen in Figure 7c-(i and ii). Interestingly, these are substantially smaller with an∼10 nm edge length predominately observed. From these dinuclear compounds, the cubes noted for 2nB were the largest in size, followed by the 3nB cubes, and then the 1nB nanorods.

Acidic Conditions. There are only a handful of studies that investigate the acidification of $TiO₂$ for nanoparticle production and these only utilize a catalytic amount of

Figure 9. *column* (i) TEM and (ii) PXRD of nanomaterials generated from H_2O and row (a) 1, (b) 2, and (c) 3.

hydrochloric acid.⁶⁵⁻⁷⁴ Besides our previous report,¹ we are not aware of any reports that employ the acidic solutions employed here utilizing $TiO₂$, $ZrO₂$, or $HfO₂$ nanoseeds. This is most likely due to the fact that $TiO₂$ is considered insoluble in these media and few commercially available $ZrO₂$ or HfO₂ are readily available.¹

- (65) Finnegan, M. P.; Zhang, H.; Banfield, J. F. J. Phys. Chem. C 2007, 111, 1962.
- (66) Penn, R. L.; Banfield, J. F. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 1999, 63, 1549.
- (67) Sugimoto, T.; Zhou, X.; Muramatsu, A. J. Colloid Interface Sci. 2003, 259, 53.
- (68) Zaban, A.; Aruna, S. T.; Tirosh, S.; Gregg, B. A.; Mastai, Y. J. Phys. Chem. B 2000, 104, 4130.
- (69) Roy, S. C.; Paulose, M.; Grimes, C. A. *Biomaterials* **2007**, 28, 4667. (70) Bright, E.; Readey, D. W. *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* **1987**, 70, 900.
- (71) Schmuki, P.; Bauer, S.; Kleber, S. Electrochem. Commun. 2006, 8, 1321.
- (72) Wu, G.; Wang, J.; Thomas, D. F.; Chen, A. Langmuir 2008, 24 3503.
- (73) Aruna, S. T.; Tirosh, S.; Zaban, A. J. Mater. Chem. 2000, 10, 2388. (74) Lan, Y.; Gao, X. D.; Zhu, H.; Zheng, Z.; Yan, T.; Wu, F.; Ringer,
- S. P.; Song, D. Adv. Funct. Mater. 2005, 15, 1310.

Since solubility would be less of an issue (at least preliminarily) for the molecular precursors, an investigation of equimolar amounts of $1-3$ that were independently added to 10 mL of $H₂O$ in a Teflon lined Parr digestion bomb was undertaken. This mixture was then topped off with 10 mL of HI, appropriately sealed, and heated. Diluted concentrated HI was selected for study since it yielded the most uniform complex morphologies from our previous scoping studies (see Supporting Information). The TEM and PXRD of the resultant powders isolated after 24 h at elevated temperatures are shown in Figure 8 (i) and (ii), respectively. Nanorods were noted for each sample investigated. For 1nA, the rods had aspect ratios (AR) of ∼4 forming a mixture of phases: brookite (JCPDS card 00- 029-1360; minor) and rutile (JCPDS card 00-021-1276; major). The observance of the high temperature tetragonal rutile is not unexpected since it is the most common and stable phase of $TiO₂$. The unusual appearance of the orthorhombic brookite most likely is due to the decomposition of the rutile nanoparticles and further studies to

Figure 10. Structure plot of 1b. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 30% level; (a) full structure and (b) central core.

understand and exploit this phenomenon are underway. The TEM images of the nanomaterials generated from 2 and 3 under identical conditions are shown in Figure 8 b(i) and c(i), respectively. The PXRD patterns indicated that the baddelyite [JCPDS card 00-037-1484; Figure 8b(ii)] and hafnium oxide [JCPDS card 00-006-0318; Figure 8c(ii)] nanorods of 2nA and 3nA, respectively were much smaller and lower in AR than noted for the 1nA materials. The 1nA rods formed vary considerably from the squares noted for anatase TiO₂ nanoseed study but are similar to those formed using rutile TiO₂ nanoseeds.¹ The formation of rods is not unexpected since acicular crystals are often noted for naturally occurring minerals of rutile.

Neutral Conditions. The simple hydrothermal processing of $1-3$ was also undertaken, and the final products are shown in Figure 9 a–c (i), respectively. The TEM images of 1nN shown in Figure 9a(i) reveal square and parallel-pipped, anatase-phased nanomaterials [Figure 9a(ii)] had been formed. The size of the particles is varied, but the

majority range from $20-50$ nm. In contrast, the $2nN$ nanomaterials are much smaller, consisting of ∼10 nm dots of the baddeleyite and the zirconium oxide [JCPDS card 00-027- 0997, see Figure 9b(i)]. The monoclinic baddeleyite phase is stable up to $1200 \degree C$ where it then converts to the tetragonal and ultimately the cubic phase as the temperature is increased [Figure 9b(ii)]. However, when non-equilibrium processes are used that form high surface area materials, more phases than the stable monoclinic species may be formed.⁷⁵ Therefore, the two phases observed here are either a result of cocrystallization because of the high surface area nanomaterials forming in a non-equilibrium situation or the low temperature monoclinic baddeleyite was only partially transformed into the higher temperature cubic $ZrO₂$ phase under the conditions noted. Longer processing times and different temperatures are being explored to understand the mixed phase production. The 3nN species [Figure 9c(i)] were found to be larger than the 2nN but smaller than the 1nN materials. The hafnium oxide [Figure 9c(ii)] particles resemble ∼20 nm rod-like species with some dots present but not as plentiful as the dots noted for 2nN. The elongation of the Hf particles over those of the Zr are not fully understood but can only be an effect of the metal center (i.e., variations in hydrolysis susceptibility $Zr \leq Hf$).⁷⁶ In particular, the formation of a single phase as noted for the Hf system, would favor formation of more uniform particles.

Hydrolysis of Precursors. Overall, the nanomaterials generated with $1-3$, when compared to the seeded growth system, $\frac{1}{1}$ demonstrated significant changes in the final morphologies produced. Interestingly, the expected improvement in the monodispersity was not observed. This is believed to be due to the properties associated with the cation's hydrolysis behavior, since each system involves an aqueous based solution. Our initial efforts focused on identifying the hydrolysis product of $1-3$, which would be considered the basic building blocks of the nanomaterials ultimately produced.

The reaction was simplified to investigate the hydrolysis of $1-3$ over a very short time (1 equiv of H_2O) toluene was added to a solution of $1-3$ in toluene and stirred for 10 min). After the volatile component was removed in vacuo the resulting white powders were subsequently crystallized from toluene, which proved to be $\left[\text{Ti}_6(\mu_3\text{-}O)_7(\mu\text{-}O)(\mu\text{-}O)\right]$ $\text{ONep}_{2}(\text{ONep}_{6}]_{2}$ (1b, Figure 10) and the previously discussed $\left\{ (\mu_3\text{-}O)(\mu_3\text{-}OBu^t)[(\mu\text{-}ONep)M(ONep)_2]_3 \right\}$ $M = Zr$ (2a) and Hf (3a). The structure of 1b is similar to that reported previously for the hydrolysis⁵¹ or esterification^{77,78} of the Ti(OCHMe₂)₄. The dodecamer adopts a pseudo- C_{2h} symmetry forming a triangular gyrobicupola consisting of a mixture of 5- and 6-coordinated Ti metal centers. This ball shaped structure is \sim 6.5 Å across [Ti(5)---Ti(5a)] omitting the ONep pendant chains and must be considered a fundamental building block of $TiO₂$ materials. The similarity between the direct hydrolysis product and those obtained from py (2a and 3a) must be related to the high temperatures

⁽⁷⁵⁾ Armelao, L.; Bertagnolli, H.; Gross, S.; Krishnan, V.; Lavrencic-Stangar, U.; Muller, K.; Orel, B.; Srinivasan, G.; Tondello, E.; Zattin, A. J. Mater. Chem. 2005, 15, 1954.

⁽⁷⁶⁾ Pershina, V. J. Nucl. Rad. Sci. 2002, 3, 137.

⁽⁷⁷⁾ Stenou, N.; Robert, F.; Boubekeur, K.; Ribot, F.; Sanchez, C. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1998, 279, 144.

⁽⁷⁸⁾ Day, V. W.; Eberspacher, T. A.; Klemperer, W. G.; Park, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 8469.

required to dissolve 2 and 3 in this solvent and adventitious water present in the "anhydrous" py. Therefore, the triangular shaped 2a and 3a constitute the start of the hydrolysis and may be considered the first of many steps leading to large oligomers as observed for 1b.

The shape and size of the precursor has been previously shown to directly impact the final morphology observed in a number of nanoparticle systems.⁷⁹⁻⁸² Therefore, the larger structure noted for 1b would be expected to yield much larger particles than those observed from the trinuclear 2a and 3a for systems driven by the hydrolysis behavior of the precursor. For both the acidic and neutral pH solutions investigated this was the case (1nA > 3nA \sim 2nA and $1nN > 3nN \sim 2nN$). Interestingly, this was not the case for the basic solution, where $2nB > 3nB > 1nB$. Because of these inconsistencies and the fact that even though similar nucleation seeds are available for 2 and 3 (i. e., 2a and 3a), hydrolysis cannot be the only driving factor. The next parameter that must be considered is the solubility of the in situ generated " MO_2 " growth particles in the medium used. Because of the limited information available for the heavier congeners at the different pH levels studied here, more studies are necessary.

Summary and Conclusions

The dinuclear Group 4 neo-pentoxide species have been isolated as $1-3$. The heavier cations were found to be novel *iso*-structural complexes with the presence of a OBu^t ligand and a H disordered over the entire molecule. Upon dissolution in pyridine an unusual "crevice" structure was noted for 1a, whereas 2 and 3 formed an oxo- compound (2a and 3a) where the OBu^t could be specifically identified. Direct hydrolysis studies of $1-3$ lead to the isolation of the dodecamer

compound 1b for 1 but 2a and 3a were again isolated for 2 and 3, respectively. Because of their structural similarities (dinuclear with bridging ONep ligands), compounds $1-3$ were used to explore the effect that the cation from the isomorphic species have on the final nanomaterials generated using a SOLVO approach at different pH levels: acidic [(aq)HI], neutral [H₂O)], and basic [(aq)KOH]. For acidic routes, small nanorods were formed, neutral solutions led to nanosquares, and basic preparations yielded larger nanorods. For Ti, a variety of phases formed based on the pH whereas Zr typically formed baddeleyite and Hf formed $HfO₂$. The nanomorphologies isolated here appear to be substantially different from the seeded growth approach; however, significant improvements in the distribution of size and shape were not noted. The hydrolysis products (1b, 2a, 3a) appear to play a role in directing the size of the final nanomaterials; investigation of the solubility of the $MO₂$ in these systems must be explored to fully understand the final morphologies attained. Additionally, lower processing temperatures are being explored to exploit the lower thermal stability of these precursors $(1-3)$.

Acknowledgment. For support of this research, the authors thank H. D. Pratt III and J. G. Griego for technical support, the Laboratory Directed Research and Development (LDRD) program at Sandia National Laboratories, the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of Materials Sciences, and the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy.

Supporting Information Available: BeD-XRD (calc'd and experimental) of $1-3$ along with scoping study (PXRD, TEM) of $1-3$ in HX $(X = Cl, Br, I)$ at low medium and high concentrations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org. The crystal structures of $1a-3a$ have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and allocated the deposition numbers CCDC 775237- 775242, respectively.

⁽⁷⁹⁾ Boyle, T. J.; Hernandez-Sanchez, B. A.; Baros, C. M.; Rodriguez, M. A.; Brewer, L. N. Chem. Mater. 2007, 19, 2016.

⁽⁸⁰⁾ Boyle, T. J.; Bunge, S. D.; Alam, T. M.; Holland, G. P.; Headley, T. J.; Avilucea, G. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 1309.

⁽⁸¹⁾ Boyle, T. J.; Bunge, S. D.; Andrews, N. L.; Matzen, L. E.; Sieg, K.; Rodriguez, M. A.; Headley, T. J. Chem. Mater. 2004, 16, 3279.

⁽⁸²⁾ Boyle, T. J.; Tribby, L. J.; Ottley, L. A. M.; San, S. M. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 36, 5550.